

REMARKS

In response to the Species Election Requirement mailed December 12, 2005, applicants provisionally elect species A, with traverse. It is believed that at least claims 1-10 and new claims 15-16 read on the elected species.

The Examiner is seriously requested to reconsider his restriction requirement. First, this is a 371 application of an International application that met the unity of invention requirements. Thus, deference should be given to that earlier determination. In any event, it appears that at least Figures 1-8 should all comprise one species (under any standard) since these figures all illustrate embodiments that disclose that the coils on one substrate are connected to coils on another substrate "via through holes in the insulating layer". Thus, it is believed that at least claims 1-10 and 15-16 all belong to this redefined species.


If the Examiner does not agree with applicant's contention, the undersigned representative would appreciate a phone call from the Examiner to discuss this matter before engaging in substantive examination of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: _____

Jan 12, 2006

By: _____


G. Gregory Schivley
Reg. No. 27,382

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600

GGG/slm